

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills Party News

We will be postponing our monthly meeting on the 2nd Saturday of the month for the winter!! Weekly meetings will be held at McAlister's, 5:30-10pm, Wed. evenings. They are come and go, at your convenience. Bring your concerns for discussion. Your concerns are important – they are why we exist. In this publication what is in green is me, other colors are just for getting attention. Changes in font are for letting readers know it is a change of subject or person speaking. I would like to include your opinion/concerns. We must continue working, paying attention, & most of all PRAYING!! With the help of the LORD we will prevail!! The USA is too important to the world for us, yes us, to let it be destroyed – Take a deep breath, PRAY, we will succeed!!!

Unknown to our friend who sent me this info, I had been steaming for quite some time because BHO had been refusing to say "ISIS". Now I know why – it does explain a lot – it proves him to be just as I have thought. Your history/geographic lesson for the day.

THE SINISTER DIFFERENCE BETWEEN "ISIS" AND "ISIL"

Here is an explanation of the difference between the terms ISIS and ISIL. I have been suspicious of the term ISIL to which the administration stubbornly clings.

ISIS = Islamic State of Iraq and Syria. Iraq is to the east of Jordan (shaped like the hatchet) and Syria is to the north.

ISIL = Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant. Iraq is still to the east of Jordan, and "the Levant" is a term that comes from "the rising (of the sun, i.e., to the east)" - and is basically the land along the Mediterranean - that includes Lebanon, Israel, and those countries along there.

By saying **ISIL**, you "negate" Israel as its own country and lump it in with the rest of the countries along the Mediterranean - and Israel sort of disappears (loses its sovereignty) and becomes part of "the Levant" - which is therefore part of ISIL.

If you've wondered, as I have, why all government agencies and especially BHO calls it ISIL and even spells it out every time it's used, instead of ISIS as the rest of the world here's the answer. Decoding Obama's speech reveals some startling revelations. In one press conference after another, when referring to the Muslim terror super-group ISIS, United States President Barack Obama will use the term ISIL, instead of their former name ISIS, or current name Islamic State. Have you ever wondered about that?

Here is the difference: What makes up the near exact center of the Muslim Levant? Israel.

ISIL stands for the Islamic State of Iraq and Levant. Now, to us Westerners we don't really make much of a distinction, do we?

No, honestly from our perspective it's all about the same. But how would a Muslim living in the Middle East view it? Just what is the Levant anyway? Let's take a look. The geographical term LEVANT refers to a multi-nation region in the Middle East. It's a land bridge between Turkey to the north and Egypt to the south.

If you look on a map, however, in the near exact middle of the nations that comprise the Levant, guess what you see? Come on, guess!

It's Israel. **When Barack Obama refers over and over to the Islamic State as ISIL, he is sending a message to Muslims all over the Middle East, that he personally does not recognize Israel as a sovereign nation, but as territory belonging to the Islamic State.**

Now you know why Obama says that he has no plan, no goal, and no stated aim for dealing with ISIS.

But he does have a plan, and it's a really nasty, diabolical one.

Obama's plan is to drag his feet for as long as he can, doing only the bare minimum that Congress forces him to do. His plan to buy ISIL as much time as possible to make as many gains as they can. Listen as Obama and his press secretary and the spokesperson for the State Department and his Joint Chiefs of Staff painstakingly spells out the letters I-S-I-L so there is no doubt in your mind. And it's working. The Islamic State has garnered millions of dollars, a vast cache of weapons, and in their latest foray have captured Syrian fighter jets and now 12 commercial passenger planes. With each passing day that Obama fulfills his stated aim of doing nothing, the Islamic State grows by leaps and bounds. The ultimate goal, of course, has not changed and will never change.

The ultimate goal is the destruction of Israel and then the United States.

Now you know a little bit more about why Obama chooses his words so carefully.

"A Jew who votes for Obama is like a chicken who votes for Col. Sanders."



It is no longer a mystery why HBO says Isil – he wants the USA to be down trodden .



December 10, 2015

Dear Friend,

As you know, former Speaker John Boehner worked with the Republican Steering Committee to strip me of my committee assignments three years ago. **They did it behind closed doors, without notice, without explanation - to punish me for being a conservative.**

It was symbolic of all that was wrong about Washington and how Republican Leaders in the House were ignoring the will of the American people. It was also a direct assault on conservatives like me who dared to stand up and fight for the principles, the issues, and the values we had just campaigned on. Speaker Boehner and his allies wanted principled, conservative Republicans to live in fear of the consequences of standing up against them.

Conservatives deserved more from our GOP leaders. And as more and more Republicans in the House

rallied to our cause, **Speaker Boehner realized it was time for him to go.**

After John Boehner announced his departure, conservatives joined together and demanded that incoming Speaker Paul Ryan commit to reform the Steering Committee process. To his credit, Speaker Ryan agreed, and he opened up six new positions on the Steering Committee by removing six powerful committee chairmen.

Today, just weeks after John Boehner stepped down, my House Republican colleagues elected me to serve on the influential Steering Committee. It truly was a victory for conservatives who have been essentially shut out of the process by the Washington Establishment.

In my campaign for the position, I promised my colleagues that they would be able to vote the Constitution, their consciences and their constituents without fear of retribution. By moving toward a more open legislative process, I believe we will produce better results for the American people and restore a little more trust in how Washington is doing business.

Today we learned that conservatives can make a difference in Congress if we are not afraid to stand up for our principles.

But, this victory is only a first step. Far too many in the Washington Establishment are not happy that conservatives are gaining influence. They will continue to push back against our efforts to take on Obama. Our battle to secure our borders. To protect our Second Amendment rights. To stand up for our values and protect innocent lives.

I will not stop. I will keep fighting. The future of our great nation is too important to do anything less.

[If you agree, will you make a contribution today to support my ongoing efforts to hold Republicans in Washington accountable?](#)

Thank you for all you have done in the past to support me. It's working. Together, we are making a difference.

Yours in Liberty,

Tim Huelskamp

I can't tell you how great this is – I could be jumping the gun, but **“Thank YOU, GOD”!!!**

The following came originally from “The Hutchinson News” & I got it from the Manhattan Mercury.

REP. HUELSKAMP WINS COVETED SPOT ON STEERING COMMITTEE

U.S. Rep. Tim Huelskamp, R-Fowler/Hutchinson, was elected to the House Republican Steering Committee Thursday, a boost that could help him win re-election in 2016.

It marked a rebound for the conservative lawmaker who lost committee assignments under former Speaker of the House John Boehner.

“Huelskamp got the last laugh by winning a seat on the conference steering committee – the very group of party elders who marginalized him in 2012,” wrote The Washington Post.

Huelskamp issued a statement:

“Today my Republican colleagues voted me onto the influential House Steering Committee. This is the powerful panel which determines nearly all committee assignments. This is a huge victory for conservatives and for Kansas.

Huelskamp was removed from the House Agriculture and Budget committees because he was a conservative critic of moderate Boehner’s leadership. Fort Hays State University political science professor Chapman Rackaway called this latest development “a big win” for the congressman.

“Huelskamp now has an even bigger stage to speak from and an opportunity to adjust from an outsider to a leader,” Rackaway said.

“And if he gets back on Agriculture, it takes the single biggest piece of opposition research on him out of play.

That would be huge,” Rackaway said.

Huelskamp’s two 2016 Republican challengers – Alan LaPolice of Clyde and Roger Marshall of Great Bend – are not fans of Huelskamp’s outspoken style. As recently as Tuesday, Huelskamp’s combative politics was on display on his Facebook page. He posted a photo of President Obama and the line: “Put Obama on the No-Fly List.” People were asked to “like” if they agreed and over 400 people hit “like.” Others, though, wrote comments taking Huelskamp to task for the post.

Conservative Republicans hailed Huelskamp’s election Thursday.

“We congratulate Tim Huelskamp on his election to the Republican Steering Committee. We know that he’ll continue to be a voice for fiscally conservative principles and limited government in this new role.” Fredon Works chief executive officer Adam Brandon said. Huelskamp is a member of the conservative House Freedom Caucus.

“We’ve said all along that House Republican leaders should listen to conservative members. That’s what John Boehner failed to do, and it eventually led to him losing his post as Speaker. Huelskamp’s election is certainly a positive sign and a step in the right direction.” Brandon said.

The Washington Post noted that apart from Huelskamp’s election to the steering committee, there were “few signs of a sea change.” The other five House Republicans chosen Thursday – all from other states – were “considered to be much more in line with the Republican establishment,” The Post wrote.

This is great!!! I am not well versed on the Steering Committee’s responsibilities and how they are accomplished. I will check this out and report back. Send your prayers and well wishes to Rep. Huelskamp.

I found the following on line at Wikipedia. This will give us an idea of the committees responsibilities.

House Republican Steering Committee [edit]

For House Republicans, the Steering committee is chaired by the party leader in the House, either the Speaker (if Republicans are in the majority) or the Minority (Floor) Leader (if Republicans are in the minority). Under rules adopted after the 1994 [Republican Revolution](#), the House party leader had five votes on the committee, the majority/whip whip receives 2 votes, and all other members receive 1 vote. The current Chairman of the Republican Steering Committee is [Paul Ryan](#).

The Republican counterpart in the Senate is the [Committee on Committees](#). Senate Republicans also operate a Steering Committee to discuss policy issues.^[8]

Statutory members include the full conference leadership and the committee chairs or ranking members (depending on a majority or minority) of Appropriations, Budget, Energy, Financial Services, Rules, and Ways and Means. Appointed members include the direct appointments, 14 regional representatives, and members from the three most junior classes.

The members of the committee for the 114th Congress are as follows:^[9]

- Conference Leadership: [Paul Ryan](#) (WI-1), [Kevin McCarthy](#) (CA-23), [Steve Scalise](#) (LA-1), [Cathy McMorris Rodgers](#) (WA-5), [Greg Walden](#) (OR-2), [Lynn Jenkins](#) (KS-2), [Virginia Foxx](#) (NC-5), [Patrick McHenry](#) (NC-10)
- Appointed Members: [Tom Cole](#) (OK-4)
- Committee Chairs: [Hal Rogers](#) (KY-5), [Tom Price](#) (GA-6), [Fred Upton](#) (MI-6), [Jeb Hensarling](#) (TX-5), [Pete Sessions](#) (TX-32), [Kevin Brady](#) (TX-8)
- Regional Members: [Joe Heck](#) (NV-3), [Kevin Yoder](#) (KS-3), [John Shimkus](#) (IL-15), [Candice Miller](#) (MI-10), [Bill Shuster](#) (PA-9), [Pat Tiberi](#) (OH-12), [Steve Womack](#) (AR-3), [Lynn Westmoreland](#) (GA-3), [Bob Goodlatte](#) (VA-6), [Devin Nunes](#) (CA-22), [Jeff Miller](#) (FL-1), [Cynthia Lummis](#) (WY-AL), [Lamar Smith](#) (TX-21)
- Junior Class Members: [Jeff Denham](#) (CA-10), [Richard Hudson](#) (NC-8), [Steve Russell](#) (OK-5), Vacant (Freshman Class)

The House Republican Conference approved changes to the Steering Committee's structure on November 19, 2015. These changes include a reduction in the Speaker's weighted votes from five to four (while giving him the right to appoint a new at-large member) and phased membership adjustments. The six committee leaders that were all previously given standing membership will share a single seat on a rotating basis, while congressional committee leaders whose relevant issues are being discussed will be invited to attend those meetings. The committee leaders' six vacant seats are to be elected by the whole Conference at-large by the end of 2015, and they will serve until the end of the 114th Congress (January 3, 2017). Following the 2016 general election for the next Congress, these at-large elected seats will be replaced by six additional regional representatives, with the new regions to be determined at that time.^{[10][11][12]}

I now have more good news – another letter from Rep. Huelskamp.

December 17, 2015

Dear Friend,

I have some great news to share.

On the heels of my election to the powerful House Steering Committee last week, I received a letter from the political consultants and Super PAC that ran vicious attack ads against me in 2014. **This time they promise NOT to attack me in 2016.**

Yesterday, the Topeka Capital-Journal ran a story on this exciting development and one political commentator put the news this way, "This is a big blow for the anti-Huelskamp forces."

Despite this setback for my Establishment-backed opponents, they are moving full steam ahead with their campaigns. **One opponent was actually on K Street here in DC last week begging for help in exchange for promising to do what the insiders tell him to do.**

But, more and more it looks like they will have to campaign on **their own pro-amnesty, pro-ObamaCare, pro-Common Core positions**, rather than relying on this deep-pocketed Super PAC to attack me. And, I am confident we will win if it's my record versus their out-of-step, liberal positions.

I **will** keep fighting to keep America safe by strengthening the border and tightening restrictions on who can enter our country.

I **will** keep fighting to make sure our Veterans get the health care they deserve.

I **will** keep fighting against Washington's addiction to spending.

I **will** keep fighting for our conservative Kansas values.

[If you want to stand with me in this fight, will you make your most generous year-end contribution today?](#)

One of my opponents is claiming to have raised more money from Kansas than we have - but let's change that today. [Your contribution of \\$50, \\$100 or even \\$250 today will go a long ways to defeating the Washington Establishment forces who refuse to stand up to President Obama.](#)

Again, thank you for your steadfast support.

Yours in Liberty,

Tim Huelskamp

*Oh, WOW, this is great!!!! Without all the Bull S**t being screamed at the top of their lungs all over Wash. DC, the Country, and Kansas, Rep. Huelskamp will be able to tend to the business at hand instead of wasting time defending himself. We all know how hard he has worked in our behalf. We must continue defending and standing behind Representative Tim Huelskamp as he has stood behind us!!! He has keep his promises to us and has finally won the support and credit he deserves – he has done what he promised, now we must continue to support and vote for him. Keep Tim and his family in your prayers and remember to thank GOD for each and every victory accomplished. Contribute as often and as much as you can!!*

The Tax System Explained in Beer

Suppose that every day, ten men go out for beer and the bill for all ten comes to \$100...

If they paid their bill the way we pay our taxes, it would go something like this...

The first four men (the poorest) would pay nothing.

The fifth would pay \$1.

The sixth would pay \$3.

The seventh would pay \$7..

The eighth would pay \$12.

The ninth would pay \$18.

The tenth man (the richest) would pay \$59.

So, that's what they decided to do..

The ten men drank in the bar every day and seemed quite happy with the arrangement, until one day, the owner threw them a curve ball.

"Since you are all such good customers," he said, "I'm going to reduce the cost of your daily beer by \$20". Drinks for the ten men would now cost just 80.

The group still wanted to pay their bill the way we pay our taxes. So the first four men were unaffected. They would

still drink for free.

But what about the other six men ? How could they divide the \$20 windfall so that everyone would get his fair share?

They realized that \$20 divided by six is \$3.33.

But if they subtracted that from every body's share, then the fifth man and the sixth man would each end up being paid to drink his beer.

So, the bar owner suggested that it would be fair to reduce each man's bill by a higher percentage the poorer he was, to follow the principle of the tax system they had been using, and he proceeded to work out the amounts he suggested that each should now pay.

And so the fifth man, like the first four, now paid nothing (100% saving).

The sixth now paid \$2 instead of \$3 (33% saving).

The seventh now paid \$5 instead of \$7 (28% saving).

The eighth now paid \$9 instead of \$12 (25% saving).

The ninth now paid \$14 instead of \$18 (22% saving).

The tenth now paid \$49 instead of \$59 (16% saving).

Each of the six was better off than before. And the first four continued to drink for free. But, once outside the bar, the men began to compare their savings.

"I only got a dollar out of the \$20 saving," declared the sixth man. He pointed to the tenth man, "but he got \$10!"

"Yeah, that's right," exclaimed the fifth man. "I only saved a dollar too. It's unfair that he got ten times more benefit than me!"

"That's true!" shouted the seventh man. "Why should he get \$10 back, when I got only \$2? The wealthy get all the breaks!"

"Wait a minute," yelled the first four men in unison, "we didn't get anything at all. This new tax system exploits the poor!"

The nine men surrounded the tenth and beat him up.

The next night the tenth man didn't show up for drinks, so the nine sat down and had their beers without him. But when it came time to pay the bill, they discovered something important. They didn't have enough money between all of them for even half of the bill!

And that, boys and girls, journalists and government ministers, is how our tax system works.

The people who already pay the highest taxes will naturally get the most benefit from a tax reduction.

Tax them too much, attack them for being wealthy, and they just may not show up anymore.

In fact, they might start drinking overseas, where the atmosphere is somewhat friendlier.

David R. Kamerschen, Ph.D.

Professor of Economics.

For those who understand, no explanation is needed.

For those who do not understand, no explanation is possible. **Now is that clear as mud?? Think about it.**

MORE GUN LAWS AREN'T THE SOLUTION **Texarkana, Ark, Gazette** **12-10-15**

The topic of gun violence – or more specifically violence carried out by individuals in possession of a firearm – is big news these days and the subject of much debate.

Gun control advocates and many politicians – including President Obama – think the government needs to pass more laws restricting the public's access to firearms, especially handguns and what critics like to call "assault weapons".

Gun rights advocates counter with the Constitution. Gun ownership is a right under the Second Amendment – which the nation's highest court has declared an individual right not tied to formal military or militia service – and that there is no reason to infringe on the rights of the many because of the actions of a few.

We favor the latter argument. Like it or not, gun ownership is a constitutional right and should be respected as other rights are. We don't see how making it harder for law-abiding citizens to buy guns will keep them out of the hands of criminals, terrorists or anyone bent on evil deeds.

But there is a real problem. Individuals use guns to kill and wound others in this country at an astonishing rate – especially when compared with other nations. And the common saying from the gun rights crowd that the more guns in private hands, the safer our society will be has not proven itself to be even close to the truth. The guns are put there. The safety? No so much.

So what can be done?

Big question. And we don't know that anyone has the complete answer. In our view, the "mere possession" of a gun during a crime should be enough to send a criminal away for a long time – even if his or her victim never knows about the weapon.

If a criminal does not have a gun, he cannot use a gun. But if a criminal carries a gun, there is a possibility it will be used.

The higher we raise the stakes for getting caught with a gun illegally, the better the chance someone may think twice about packing. But that's only true if there is certain severe punishment. It's not the ultimate answer to gun violence, but it could be part of the bigger solution.

We have a lot of gun laws in this country. We should enforce them BEFORE we consider adding more. And IF we are going to get serious about REDUCING gun violence, we should TARGET THE CRIMINALS not responsible citizens.

To begin we **MUST STOP** pointing out to gunmen, foreign and domestic, (telling everyone where guns are not allowed) can go and start shooting and know where fire will not be returned. Make it legal for personal firearms to be carried and not necessarily concealed, and see if that makes a difference. I don't know what needs to happen, but I do know more gun laws will only take the guns away from law abiding citizens and not hinder the crooks/murderers/hijackers/terrorists in the least. Too bad our Bible doesn't say everyone **MUST** tell the truth to live like the Koran says it is OK to lie to further their religion. Please, **GOD** bring Peace to the USA and the world!!

Landing instructions

Southerners can be so polite!

Atlanta Tower: "Saudi Air 511 -- You are cleared to land on runway 9R."

Saudi Air: "Thank you Atlanta . Acknowledge cleared to land on infidel's runway 9R - Allah be Praised."

Atlanta Tower: " Iran Air 711 - You are cleared to land on runway 27L."

Iran Air: "Thank you Atlanta. We are cleared to land on infidel's runway 27L. Allah is Great.

Pause....

Saudi Air: "ATLANTA TOWER - ATLANTA TOWER !"

Atlanta Tower: "Go ahead Saudi Air 511.."

Saudi Air: "YOU HAVE CLEARED BOTH OUR AIRCRAFTS FOR THE SAME RUNWAY GOING IN OPPOSITE DIRECTIONS. WE ARE ON A COLLISION COURSE INSTRUCTIONS, PLEASE!"

Atlanta Tower: "Well bless your hearts. And praise Jesus. Y'all go on ahead now & tell Allah "Hey" for us."

Is this what is called NOT being Politically Correct? I am sure there are lots of "Good" Muslims or whatever those who are killing so many are, but until they stop the meaningless killing attacks around the world and prove to be good to all I'll say what I want. Hmmmmmm – that is not exactly following God's word – "do unto others as you want them to do unto you"-- is it? To be the Christian I want to be I'll have to change MY ways of thinking. OK, I'll accept that, but the USA MUST develop background checks that actually are background checks. If we can't keep just the Muslims out perhaps we need to keep all non USA citizens out of our Country until we can develop honest and true background checks. Then those background checks MUST be applied to all those entering the USA, including legal citizens. If they are legal citizens, check them out anyway. Haven't there been legal USA citizens who have turned out to be mass murders? They are not any better than those holding foreign citizenships. Then there is the problem of the mentally ill. That is another problem all by itself!! I don't believe I, personally, could willingly cause the death of anyone NOT trying to take my life or the life of anyone NOT threatening me or someone right in front of me. I Thank GOD that I have never had to make that decision and Pray I never will. The article above is NOT a joke – it is NOT Funny – and the worst part it Could happen. There would be NO way of knowing the threat these planes and their passengers are bringing to our Country. We have enough problem figuring out those in our Country, why bring in those who actually bring more concern?

THIS IS SO SCARY I HATE TO THINK ABOUT IT, BUT THOUGHT IT WAS A WORTHWHILE EMAIL TO CIRCULATE – STOP & THINK ABOUT IT -- THEN PRAY – PRAY LONG AND HARD — OUR COUNTRY DEPENDS ON IT.

WE ARE ALMOST THERE!

One election will decide our fate...

There are 8 levels of control that must be obtained before you are able to create a socialist/communist State. The first is the most important.

5 OF THE 8 ARE DONE - THE LAST 3 ARE ALMOST THERE???

1. Healthcare: "Control Healthcare and you control the People." **DONE!!!**
2. Poverty: "Increase the Poverty level as high as possible." Poor People are easier to control and will not fight back if you are providing everything for them to live. **DONE!!!**
3. Debt: "Increase the National Debt to an unsustainable level." That way you are able to increase Taxes, and this will produce more Poverty. **DONE!!!**
4. Gun Control: "Remove the ability to defend themselves from the Government." That way you are able to create a Police State - total local control. **ALMOST THERE!!!**
5. Welfare: "Take control of every aspect of their lives" (Food, Livestock, Housing, and Income). **DONE!!!**
6. Education: "Take control of what People read & listen to take control of what Children learn in School." **ALMOST THERE!!!**
7. Religion "Remove faith in God from the Government and School." **ALMOST THERE!!!**
8. Class Warfare: "Divide the People into the Wealthy against the Poor. Racially divide." This will cause more discontent and it will be easier to Tax the Wealthy with full support of the voting Poor. **DONE!!!**


The bases are almost covered. We are ripe for the New World Order, and ripe for Judgement of GOD on a people Blessed beyond measure yet as nation have rejected their Savior in every area of their lives! Justice is what we have received, a Prayer for GOD's Mercy is all that remains!!!!

We CAN NOT afford another election where millions do not vote. Everyone all around the Country VOTE!! Personally, I can't imagine not voting. How irresponsible, just plain lazy, and not caring about the future of the United States of America. Our fore fathers and so very many of our young men and women have given their lives, their hopes of the future to maintain that ability how can we throw that in their faces?? When you don't vote you are basically saying, "I don't give a Sh*t about what YOU gave up, it means absolutely nothing to me. I don't know why you bothered." How can you

just thumb your nose at person and their family who gave their life for you to have that privilege?

Refusing Syrian refugees and closing our borders is not being mean or heartless, I lock my doors every night, not because I hate the people outside my house, but because I LOVE the people inside my house!!!

Now we are talking a language Americans can understand!!! Doesn't matter, never mind, the one living in the White House won't understand – all he understands is destroying our great Country, destroying the togetherness we were finally enjoying in our Country, destroying our thirst for building perfecting, and showing the world the greatest Country in the World – together. America could have been a shining example for the world to see. Oh, I know we had a long ways to go, but now we are and have been for awhile going in the wrong direction. We thought it would be great to help those needing help and we created Welfare, now it is a life long way of living. It was carried to far and we destroyed the desire to take care of themselves. It is great to help people when they need it, but to support them forever is just wrong. Teach them to take care of themselves then back off and make them do the right thing. We have MORE people dependent on others than people taking care of themselves in this Country. This MUST be reversed for us to have the Great Country we once had!!



Not only this Christmas, but every Christmas forever after!!! Makes me want to hurl!

FLASHBACK: CHRISTMAS EVE, 1955 WAS WAY WARMER THAN TODAY!! Last Resistance by Phillip Hodges
12/25/15

If you've visited the Drudge Report recently, you might have noticed at the top of the page a picture of Santa Claus carrying his bag of gifts on a warm, sunny beach. The headline was "Christmas Eve 'Warm as July.'"

It's true. It's been pretty warm for winter, especially in the Southeast. I don't remember the last time it was this warm at Christmas time in Georgia. The high for Christmas Day is 73 degrees where I live.

I may not remember the last time it was this warm this time of year, but that's because I wasn't born yet. Steven

Goddard pointed out on his blog [Real Science](#) that it was even warmer back in 1955 compared to what we're experiencing now:

"Drudge is touting the 'record heat' forecast for Christmas Eve, even though most of the country will be below normal temperature. The best Drudge could come up with was 86 degrees at Orlando.

"Christmas Eve 1955 was much warmer. Three fourths of the country was over 60 degrees, and Ashland Kansas, Geary Oklahoma and Encinal Texas were all over 90 degrees. Fort Lauderdale was 85 degrees. All of the stations below were over 60 degrees on Christmas Eve, 1955.

"Last winter, the East Coast had record cold. That was ignored because it was 'less than 1% of the Earth.' But this week, the Eastern US defines the global climate."

Goddard added that in Irving Berlin's musical "White Christmas" ([I know, it's so racist](#)), part of the story was that it was 70 degrees in New Hampshire around Christmas time, and there was no snow. Taken in context, it makes sense why Bing Crosby's character was "dreaming of a white Christmas."

I too am dreaming of a white Christmas. I miss having to bundle up for cold weather. You know it's bad when you're tempted to turn on the AC in your house in the winter. We can't even enjoy hot chocolate, because it's too hot inside and out. At least I don't have to worry about running out of propane anytime soon. The upside has been that our electric bill has been a good bit lower than usual.

Read more at <http://lastresistance.com/15416/flashback-christmas-eve-1955-was-way-warmer-than-today/#r2voyfdzSOOOeQ28.99>

*My sister was married in 1950 on Christmas Day. We all went to the wedding in short sleeves and **without coats**. We can NOT call it global warming with any degree of honesty. It is just the way GOD made HIS/our world. If you don't want to accept that think of all the different worlds that have been OUR EARTH thru the centuries. The existence of our vehicles and whatever have NOT caused the winter warmth.*

Where Have All Our (Military) Leaders Gone

In Stalin's time he had periodic "cleansing's" of the military hierarchy to ensure there would be no uprisings. Obama and his crew are more subtle but approach the same end result. The good news is that a fair number of our retired general officers have been speaking out and there is a wider realization of what is really happening. The enclosed, or a variation thereof, has previously circulated but it's good to keep it in mind as we approach an important election year in 2016.

Warrior leaders of General Patton's or General LeMay's stature are no longer wanted. The fundamental job of the military, 'kill bad people and break their things,' has become seriously hampered critically now, by 'rules of engagement' whose guiding logic is political, not successful, combat. If the US military is ever defeated, it will be because it is running the best Day Care centers in the world.

We used to go to the Officers Club or NCO Club Stag Bar on Friday evenings to have a drink, smoke, and swap lies with our comrades. Having a drink with friends is now frowned on. Smoking causes cancer and might harm you. Bars are seen as sexist. Our personnel have quit patronizing their clubs, because what happens in the club is now fodder for a performance report. In fact, we don't have separate clubs for the ranks any longer; instead we have something called 'All Ranks Clubs' or 'Community Clubs.' They're open to men and women of all ranks. But no one is there. I wonder why.

The latest brilliant thought out of Washington is that operators flying remotely pilot-less vehicles (RPVs, or drones) in combat areas from their workstations inside air conditioned trailers at duty stations in Nevada or Arizona should draw the same combat pay as real-world pilots actually aboard aircraft in hostile environments. More politically correct logic. Now they're saying these same RPV operators are subject to the same stress levels as combat pilots actually flying in combat. Is that idiotic comment actually intended to be a statement of fact?

Where are people like the dynamic leaders of the past: Robin Olds, Doolittle, Patton, Ike, Boyington, Nimitz, etc.? They're identified and forced out. This Administration doesn't want those kinds of leaders. Division Commanders don't run Divisions and Corps Commanders don't run Corps. Can you imagine someone today looking for a LEADER to execute the Doolittle Raid, and suggesting that it be given to an educated, daredevil boozier -- whose chief attributes were he had the respect of his men, an awesome ability to fly, and the organizational skills to put it together. Add to the foregoing an incredible level of courage. If so, I would tell them they were either a liar or dumber than a brick.

We've lost the war on rugged individualism and that, unfortunately, is what fighting forces want to follow; not because they have to, but because they respect true leaders. We've all run across the kind of leader that made us proud to follow him because you wanted to be like him and make a difference.

We'd better wake up! We're asking our young men and women to go to really crappy places; some with

unbearable climates, never have a drink, and adhere to ridiculous regulations that require you to tuck your shirt into your PT uniform on the way to the porta-potty at night, in a blinding dust storm, because it's 'the uniform'.

The people we're sending to combat are some of the brightest I've met but they're looking for a little sanity, which they will only find on the outside, if we don't get a damn clue. You can't continue asking people to live for months or years at a time acting like nuns and priests. Even they get to have a beer once in a while.

Who are we afraid of offending? The people that already hate us enough to strap C-4 to their own bodies and walk into a crowd of us? Think about it. I'm massively proud of our young men and women who continue to serve. I'm also very in tune with what they're considering for the future and I've got news for whoever sits in the White House, Congress, and our so-called 'executive level military leaders': much talent has and will continue to hemorrhage from our services, because our warriors are tired of fighting on two fronts - one with our enemies, the other with the lack of sensible direction from this Administration. Take it or leave it....that's just the way it is, no ifs, and's, or but's.

Since Barack Obama has been in the White House, high-ranking military officers have been removed from their positions at a rate that is absolutely unprecedented. Things have gotten so bad that a number of retired generals are now publicly speaking out about the 'purge' of the US military they believe is taking place.

Dozens of highly decorated military leaders have been dismissed from their positions over the past few years. Why is this happening? What's going on right now is absolutely insane, especially during a time of peace. There is ongoing a deliberate attempt to reshape the military, and remove those who don't adhere to proper 'viewpoints'. Officers that question or won't cooperate are identified, and gotten out of the way.

Throughout world history, whatever comes next after a military purge is never good. If this continues, what's the US military going to look like in a few years? Perhaps you're reading this and you think that 'purge' is too strong a word for what's taking place. If so, just consider the following quotes from some highly decorated retired officers:

- Retired Army Major General Patrick Brady (Medal of Honor): 'There is no doubt Obama is intent on emasculating the military, and will fire anyone who disagrees with him.'

-Retired Army Lt. General William G. 'Jerry' Boykin: 'Over the past three years, the number of four-star generals to be relieved of duty, and not relieved for cause, has been unprecedented.'

-Retired Navy Captain Joseph John: 'More than 137 Navy Captains have been forced out or given bad evaluation reports so they will never make flag rank, because of their failure to comply to certain views.'

A high Pentagon official who has asked to remain nameless told me 'Even young officers down through the ranks have been told not to talk about Obama or the politics of the White House. They're purging everyone, and if you want to keep your job, keep your mouth shut'. This trend appears to be accelerating. The White House is intentionally weakening and gutting our military, and reducing us as a superpower. Anyone in the ranks who disagrees or speaks out is being identified and forced out. Coincidence? Every dictatorial regime on the planet has done this as soon as they gained power.

Keep in mind as well that Obama is now on his fourth Secretary of Defense!

"A government big enough to give you everything you want, is a government big enough to take away everything that you have."

Thomas Jefferson (we were told Thomas Jefferson said that, but even if he didn't it is a thought to consider!!)

“A democracy cannot exist as a permanent form of government. It can only exist until the people discover they can vote themselves largess out of the public treasury. From that moment on, the **majority** always **votes** for the candidate promising the **most** benefits from the **public** treasury, with **the result** that democracy always **collapses** over a loose fiscal policy--to be followed by a dictatorship.” (**we are almost there now, almost 19 Trillion \$\$ + Trillions in unfunded liabilities**)

— [Alexander Fraser Tytler](#)

Competent leaders would actually follow a budget and stop illegals from coming across our borders! But of course our inner cities would be on

fire and millions of people who never put anything into the public treasury would riot in the streets, calling for everything to be free!

FINALLY ATLAS WILL SHRUG!!



Our own American Indians faced the same problem and see where it got them. Do we want this to happen all over again? We need to learn from their error!! At this point in time we don't have to fight them on OUR American Soil. Accept we in reality we are!! NOW is the time to rule them out and hold our Country for ourselves!! God Bless us all!!!

If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.
 If you would like to send comments (just a sentence or two) to the editor – be my guest.
 If you have an editorial to submit – be my guest.

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: www.flinthillsteaparty.com; fhtp@flinthillsteaparty.com or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chuck Henderson, 785-236-1286; Sylda Nichols, editor, email: sylda@gemsandwood.com. Sylda sends the snail mail. Newsletter; Flint Hills TEA Party Snail Mail: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1228 Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS 66502-2840. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to “Educational Fund”) will also be accepted at this address and is tax deductible.

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part

requires permission given by the persons listed above□